PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth, 6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
The Majlis

13 Rajab 1444 – 4 February 2023

Part One

THE 'SEERAH' JALSAH FALSEHOOD OF REVEREND ABRAHAM BHAM

DELIBERATE DISTORTION COMPOUNDED WITH DECEPTION TO VINDICATE HIS KUFR INTER-FAITH DALLIANCE

"DO NOT CONFUSE THE HAQQ WITH BAATIL (DELIBERATELY) WHILST YOUR ARE AWARE (OF THE TRUTH)."
(Al Baqarah, Aayat 42)

It was always the satanic practice of the ulama of the Yahood to intentionally misinterpret the Tauraah in order to confuse the people. They blended falsehood with truth in the pursuit of their despicable worldly and *nafsaani* objectives. Their misinterpretation was not the effect of ignorance. Despite being fully aware of the Truth, they cloaked it with falsehood to mislead the masses. Thus, the *La'nat (Curse)* of Allah Azza Wa Jal settled on them.

Today, the molvis of this Ummah have inherited this satanic legacy from the ulama of Bani Israaeel. A prime example is the reverend Abraham Bham, the Cross-Worshipper and representative of the kufr interfaith movement which has been fabricated with the specific plot to extinguish the Noor of Allah Ta'ala, namely Islam. But, warning these satanic criminals, the Qur'aan Majeed announces:

"They (the interfaithers, the Yahood, the Nasaara and also the Munaafiqeen in this Ummah) plot to extinguish the Noor of Allah, but Allah intends to complete His Noor even though the Kaafiroon abhor it."

At a recently held so-called 'seerah jalsah', reverend Abraham Bham of the NNB jamiat of Fordsburg disgorged a completely distorted account of the Christian Najraani delegation who had come to Madinah to bargain with Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). The Munaafiq reverend Abraham Bham masquerading as a Muslim was at pains to portray the Najraani visit as condonation for his kufr inter-faith efforts. He intentionally and deliberately concealed the true facts pertaining to the Najraani episode. His shaitaani motive is so conspicuous. The motive is to hoodwink ignorant Muslims into believing that Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had given 5 star treatment to the Christian delegation of Najraan.

His motive was to create the absolutely fallacious idea that Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had given red carpet treatment to the Najraani kuffaar, and that he was full of smiles and that he had embraced them with open arms. The facts however deliver a shattering rebuff for the rubbish he has excreted from his mouth of kufr. The facts which appear in this article utterly and totally negate and refute all the LIES which the Cross-Worshipper has woven around the Najraani delegation in his futile attempt to bolster the haraam nexus he has with kuffaar priests and the interfaithers in general.

His entire rubbish talk at his so-called 'seerah' jalsah was a shaitaani narrative devoid of even a semblance of Haqq. It was falsehood mixed with the truth to deliberately confuse and mislead. His philandering with the interfaith kuffaar has thoroughly eliminated his Imaan, hence he is so capable of attributing brazen LIES to Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam).

Whilst our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had firmly rebuffed the delegation thereby belittling the Najraani Nasaara, the miserable Bham Cross-Worshipper is at pains with his struggle to convey the impression that Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had honoured these kuffaar and was friendly towards them. But this is the antithesis of the Truth which had transpired on this occasion.

His version of the proceedings between Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and the Christian delegation of Najraan is a shaitaani canard and a concoction of falsehood designed to deceive and mislead ignorant and unwary Muslims. For the benefit of such Muslims who may have been entrapped in the kufr tentacles of the Cross-Worshipping Abraham Bham, we shall elaborate here the facts of truth pertaining to the Najraani delegation's visit and dialogue with Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam).

When the delegation reached Madinah Munawwarah, its members removed their travel dress, donned their gaudily adorned garments and golden rings. According to their proudful style, their long cloaks dragged on the ground as they walked. In this state of pomp and show they came to Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and greeted him. Our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) ***did not*** respond to their greeting. He refused to greet them because of their satanic dress-style which portrayed their arrogance.

They endeavoured the whole day to engage Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) in conversation, but he rebuffed them. He did not speak with them. Then they set out in search of Hadhrat Uthmaan (Radhiyallahu anhu) and Hadhrat Abdur Rahmaan Bin Auf (Radhiyallahu anhum). They were acquainted with these two senior Sahaabah. After they located the two, the Christians said:

"O Uthmaan! O Abdur Rahmaan! Your Nabi had written a letter to us. We have come to respond to his letter. We came and greeted, but he refused to respond to our greeting. We endeavoured the entire day to speak to him, but he avoided us. What is your advice? Do you advise that we should return?"

This initial rebuffing attitude, far from the bootlicking attitude of the Cross-Worshipper, displayed the open hostility of our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) towards the Najraani Christians. Despite having written to them and inviting them to Islam, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had actively and conspiculously dishonoured them with his rebuff. Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) did not display conduct pleasing to the Christians. Affronted by the rebuff, the Najraanis had contemplated to leave without having dialogue with Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam).

However, both these Sahaabah sought the advice of Hadhrat Ali (Radhiyallahu anhu) who said: ***"They should remove their gaudy garments and their golden rings, and don their travel dress, then go to Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam)."*** Thus, they were advised to be humble in the presence of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). They had to enter the Nabi's presence as underlings, subordinates and subjects, not strut flaunting their arrogance and misconceived superiority.

The advice proffered to them was for them to alight from their pedestal of pride and arrogance and to meet Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) with humility. This advice was heeded. The delegation had no option but to act accordingly. Then when they came into the presence of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and greeted, he returned their greeting. Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) then commented:

"I take an oath by That Being Who has sent me with the Truth! They (i.e. the delegation) had come to me the first time with the adornment of Iblees…"

Now reflect! Did our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) initiate an inter-faith exercise? On the contrary, he acquitted himself with harshness and labelled these people with the epithet of ***'Iblees'.*** They were the followers of Iblees just as reverend Bham is a follower of Taaghoot. Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) did not pamper them with niceties and inter-faith protocols to which the Munaafiq interfaither, reverend Bham subscribes.

Then Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) engaged them in discussion. Before the delegation had arrived, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had issued the following ultimatum to them:

"Accept Islam, and you will be granted safety… I am calling you away from the worship of slaves to the worship of Allah Ta'ala. I call you away from the friendship of slaves to the Friendship of Allah. If you refuse (to accept this demand), then payment of Jizyah will be incumbent on you. If you refuse (even payment of Jizyah), then take notice of war. Was-salaam"

Does this Ultimatum have the slightest affinity with interfaith? The declaration was straight to the point and harsh. It was either Islam or the Sword.

Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had presented Islam to them. In response the bishops said: *"We had been Muslimeen before you."* That is, *'We had submitted to the truth before Islam.'* Without any niceties and cordiality, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said:

"You have spoken a lie. Three things impede you from accepting Islam: Worshipping the cross; devouring the flesh of swines, and believing that Isaa (Alayhis salaam) is the son of Allah."

The common denominator between the Christians and reverend Bham is cross-worship. This miserable Munaafiq had sat under twelve crosses in a church alongside kuffaar priests to worship. What dimension of interfaith could be extracted from the harsh stricture which Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) administered to the Nasaara?

Regarding the delegation of Najraan, the Qur'aan Majeed states:

"The Truth is from your Rabb (O Muhammad!). Therefore, do not be among those who doubt. Whoever disputes with you in this matter (of the

Haqq) after Knowledge (by Wahi) has come to you, then say: 'Come! Let us call our sons and your sons, our wives and your wives, ourselves and yourselves, then we shall supplicate sincerely (and earnestly) invoking the La'nat (Curse) of Allah on the liars." (Aal-e-Imraan, 59 and 60)

The Qur'aan Majeed brands the Najraanis as 'liars' warning them of the impending Divine Curse.

This was the challenge which Allah Ta'ala commanded Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) to issue to the Najraani Christians. The delegation had asked Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) why he was speaking ill of 'their person'…

The Najraanis themselves mentioned that Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had spoken "ill" of them. What type of interfaith satanism could be extravasated from this attitude of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam)?

Upon this, Allah Ta'ala revealed the aforementioned Aayat of *Mubaahalah*. However, the Christians were scared, hence refused to take up the challenge.

Far, very far from any bootlicking and listening to any sermon of kufr from the Najraani delegation, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) imposed on them an annual Jizyah tax of 2,000 suits of garments to be paid in the month of Rajab. Thereafter, Nabi-e-Kareem (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) told them that if they had engaged him in the *Mubaahalah* to invoke the *La'nat* of Allah Azza Wa Jal, the entire Christian population of Najraan would have been destroyed. All of them would have been transformed into **apes and swines,** and the entire region would have been consumed by a raging fire. Thereafter, not even birds would perch on the trees of Najraan.

This then is the story of the Najraani delegation which the Mudhil, Munaafiq Cross-Worshipper and all other munaafiq bootlickers and modernists monotonously pipe to justify dalliance and philandering with the interfaithers. Was there any semblance of bootlicking by Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) in this episode? Did Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) obfuscate the Haqq? Did Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) invite the Najraanis to propagate their kufr and shirk in the Musjid?

By stupidly mentioning the Najraani delegation, this Murtad and all other mudhilleen molvis and modernists hoodwink the ignorant masses into the satanic understanding that the Najraani delegation was in a dominant position and had dictated to Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and the Muslimeen. In addition to there being not the slightest vestige of bootlicking, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) acquitted himself with belligerency.

He refused to greet them. He refused to speak to them the entire day when they arrived. They were constrained to disrobe and don their old, dirty travel garments. They were issued the *Mubaahalah* challenge with the consequences of being transformed into apes and pigs, and finally an annual tax was imposed on them under threat of Jihad.

Is there any resemblance between the anecdote of Najraan and interfaith plot which the bootlicking kufr Mudhil Agent of Iblees espouses? Every Muslim can now quite easily understand the farce and fallacy of the Najraani analogy drawn by the jaahil murtad. *"O People of Imaan! If you follow the kuffaar, they will (only) turn you on your heels (to renege and become murtads), then you will become losers (in this dunya and in the Aakhirat)."* *(Aal-e-Imraan, Aayat 149)*

"But in fact, He (Allah) is your Friend, and He is the best of friends."
(Aal-e-Imraan, Aayat 150)

"Verily, those who have believed (had become Muslims), then they committed kufr, then again they believed, then again committed kufr, then they increased the kufr (as this Agent of Iblees has done), Allah will not forgive them nor will He guide them to the (Straight) Path."
(An-Nisaa', Aayat 137)

"Convey to the munaafiqeen (such as the kuffaar bootlickers) the tidings that most certainly for them there is a Painful Chastisement. They (the munaafiqeen) are those who taken as friends the kaafireen (just as this Cross-Worshipping character does) besides the Mu'mineen. What! Are they searching for honour from them (from the kaafireen)? (Know!) That verily all honour belongs to Allah." (An-Nisaa', 138 and 139)

When the delegation from Najraan was finally allowed into the presence of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) after his initial rebuff, our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) presented the unadulterated Message of Tauheed to them without allowing them a moment to preach their kufr.

Reverend Abraham Bham's corrupt and false version

The actual and true version of the Najraani episode has been explained above. Intentionally and deliberately struggling to confuse the Haqq with baatil, the Cross-Worshipper proffered the following garbled version at his baatil 'seerah' jalsah talk:

* "Put up a tent for the delegation outside Masjid Nabawi."

* "They worshipped according to their rights of their religion. Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) told the Sahaabah to "leave them the way they are worshipping".
* "The next day they engaged Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam)." *(But the shaitaan is totally silent regarding the details of the engagement as we have explained in detail above. It was also not the next day. They were rudderlessly waiting for clarity, and only after they were compelled to disrobe were they granted permission to interview Rasulullah – Sallallahu alayhi wasallam).*

* "Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) spoke to them in a friendly manner." *(This is a despicable LIE. The robust manner and rebuff of our Nabi – Sallallahu alayhi wasallam – have been explained above. Let the shaitaan reverend prove this 'friendly manner' LIE which he has falsely attributed to Rasulullah –Sallallahu alayhi wasallam.*

* Omitting in entirety the details which had transpired on this occasion, the Agent of Iblees said in his baatil acquittal of this episode:

"So the next morning Nabi Sallallahu AlaihiWaSallam came, Hadhrat Ali Radhiyallahu Anhu, Hadhrat Fatima Radhiyallahu Anha, Hadhrat Hasan and Hussain under his shawl. And Nabi Sallallahu AlaihiWaSallam walked to Masjid-e-Nabawi to make Mubahala. And such was the noor upon Nabi Sallallahu AlaihiWaSallarn that even these people who were not muslims, they realized that if we have to go into this particular situation, we are going to become destroyed and amongst them they made mashwerah and said, don't go into mubahalah, you will be destroyed. They reached a peace agreement and theres many clauses with regards to that peace treaty which will not go into because of lack of time. So this was the tribe of Nairaan."

The shaitaan has intentionally and conveniently not attempted to mention the 'many clauses' of the treaty. This treaty was loaded against the Najraanis and it was the effect of the Ultimatum: Islam, Jizyah or the Sword.

He seeks to convey the impression that the 'mubaahalah' was something of a peripheral nature, and that the Najraanis had refused to participate because of the 'noor' they saw. The reality is that they were convinced of the Truth of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and that he was undoubtedly the Nabi, and that they would have been transformed into apes and pigs if they had dared to take up the Mubaahalah challenge commanded by Allah Ta'ala.

The Munaafiq has maintained an absolute silence regarding all the details of this Najraani story. His version is fully in the purview of the Qur'aanic command:

"Do not confuse the Haqq with baatil."

SUMMARY OF THE NAJRAANI EPISODE

The salient facts regarding the Christian Najraani delegation are:

(a) On their arrival, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam), did not even respond to their greeting because of their proudful dress attire.
(b) Despite the delegation endeavouring to engage Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) in discussion the entire day, Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) rebuffed them.
(c) Hadhrat Uthmaan (Radhiyallahu anhu) advised the Christians to change their dress and remove their rings of gold. It was only after they complied that our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) agreed to speak to them.
(d) Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam), banishing all forms of dubious 'hikmat' (stupid wisdom) and interfaith 'diplomacy', said to them that the first time they had approached him was with *"the adornment of Iblees"*.He called them liars.
(e) Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) issued an ultimatum of Jihad or Jizyah to the Najraanis. He abjured them and warned them of their kufr worship.
(f) Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) denounced the worship of the cross, pork and their belief of Nabi Isaa (Alayhis salaam) being the son of Allah.
(g) The Qur'aan Majeed announced the Challenge of the Curse (La'nat) which the Christians declined.

May Allah Ta'ala protect our Imaan and may He keep us steadfast on *Siraatul Mustaqeem* and take our Souls at the end of this earthly sojourn with our Imaan intact. *"Imaan is suspended between fear and hope."* No one knows what the morrow holds for him. Just reflect! Meditate on the abyss of kufr into which the munaafiq Cross-Worshipper plots to entrap the unwary and ignorant Muslims.
We seek Allah's Protection from the evil of our nafs and the snares of Iblees.

"Salaam on those who follow Allah's Guidance."

To be continued in Part Two, Insha-Allah